

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,005	07/21/2003	Kouichi Miyamoto	AA-599	5631
27752	7590 11/30/200	EXAMINER		
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			BRITTAIN, JAMES R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
, JII 40224			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/624,005	MIYAMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James R. Brittain	3677			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ARANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 6-10 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration.				
10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	<b>△</b> □	DTO (40)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11172003: 06232004.	4)  Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6)  Other:	e			

Application/Control Number: 10/624,005

Art Unit: 3677

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claims 6-10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim can not depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP §608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 6-10 have not been further treated on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Nease et al. (US 5580411).

Nease et al. (figure 8) teaches fastening member structure including a member extending in the longitudinal and lateral direction and further being asymmetric with respect to the lateral centerline and symmetric with respect to the longitudinal centerline. While the particular exact measurements are not clearly shown, it would appear that the key asymmetry and symmetry are suggested by the fastening tab of Nease. Any slight change would appear to be obvious over the teaching of Nease.

Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Nease et al. (US 5580411) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Provost (US 4670960).

Further modification of the fastening member structure of Nease et al. such that the distances and angles are as claimed would have been obvious in view of the lobed fastener of

Application/Control Number: 10/624,005

Art Unit: 3677

Provost (figure 11) who appears to teach such relationships in the asymmetric lobed fastener found at the bottom of the grouping.

#### Conclusion

The patents of Reynolds (US 5985081; figure 8), Roessler et al. (US 5399219; figure 4), Seth (US 5603708; figure 2), Rossini et al. (US 5312387; figure 2), Widlund (US 6230374; figures 5-8), Melbye et al. (US 6051094; figure 11), Jacobs et al. (US 5876531; figure 3), Justmann (US 5759317; figure 3) and Fujioka et al. (US 5725714; figure 2) teach pertinent tab structure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Brittain whose telephone number is (703) 308-2222. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on (703) 306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James R. Brittain Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677

JRВ